UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|--|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| 10/766,591 | 01/28/2004 | Ling Tony Chen | 13768.810.64 | 3864 |
| ***** | 7590 07/18/200 YDEGGER/MICROS | | EXAM | IINER |
| 1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER | | | ZELASKIEWICZ, CHRYSTINA E | |
| | 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3621 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/18/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Intonsious Cummons | 10/766,591 | CHEN ET AL. Art Unit 3621 Brian agreement was end amendments appear to enformed appear to enformed. Art Unit September 1 September 1 Brian agreement was end amendments appear to enformed appear to enformed appear to enformed. Brian agreement was end amendments appear to enformed appear to enformed appear to enformed appear to enformed. Brian agreement was end amendments appear to enformed appear to | |
| Interview Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | CHRYSTINA ZELASKIEWICZ | 3621 | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO | personnel): | | |
| (1) <u>CHRYSTINA ZELASKIEWICZ</u> . | (3) <u>RICK NYDEGGER</u> . | | |
| (2) <u>ANDREW FISCHER</u> . | (4) <u>BENJAMIN ROBERTS</u> . | | |
| Date of Interview: <u>09 July 2008</u> . | | | |
| Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2 | 2)⊠ applicant's representative | e] | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e)⊠ No. | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-31</u> . | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Leen and Lavanchy</u> . | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g | ı)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N | I/A. | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: discussed first office action overcome the prior art rejections, an evaluation of the form. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ANTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERLE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIREMENTS on reverse side or on attached sheet. | on and amendments, propose al amendments will still be per liments which the examiner agopy of the amendments that wid.) ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, | d amendments a formed. reed would render the SUBSTANCE Control been filed, APP ODAYS FROM TWHICHEVER IS | er the claims claims OF THE LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO |
| Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an | /Chrystina Zelaskiewicz/ Examiner, Art Unit 3621 Examiner's signature, if requi | red | |